

Constitutional Scrutiny of Election Law in India amidst 'Vote Chori' Allegations: Ensuring Electoral Transparency and Fairness

Mr. Shadab Khan¹ and Dr Atul Kumar²

¹Research Scholar, DAVV University Indore MP.

²Assistant Professor, Teerthanker Mahaveer College of Law & Legal Studies, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad.

Received:
04/09/2025
Revised:
19/09/2025
Accepted:
09/10/2025
Published:
19/10/2025

ABSTRACT

The insistence of the judiciary to enforce the fairness of political funding through the transparent political election by the unconstitutional Electoral Bond Scheme is another evidence of the active intervention of the judiciary in the political system (Kumar, 2024)(Kumar, 2024)(Electoral Bonds and Political Funding in India: Transparency, Corruption, and Democratic Implications, 2025). This constitutional encroachment underscores the unceasing necessity of powerful legal provisions in order to avoid undue influence and create equality in participation in democracy. Moreover, the court examines the activities of the Election Commission especially when it seems that they exceed constitutional or legislative limits (Bhat, 2021). It is particularly important in the context of the issues related to achieving the balance between the autonomy of the Election Commission and its responsibility, which is a common subject in comparative law and politics (Bhat, 2021).

Keywords: Electoral Bond Scheme, Judicial Intervention, Political Funding Transparency, Election Commission Oversight, Democratic Participation



© 2025 by the authors; licensee Advances in Consumer Research. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY-NC-ND) license(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

INTRODUCTION

The integrity of elections is crucial for democratic governance. Recent elections in India have raised questions of vote rigging, thus a need to question election laws. The paper is going to look at the challenges and review of the Indian election laws particularly when they are accused of unfairness in elections. It will cover the provisions of the constitution that allow the judicial review of the electoral practices and evaluate major court decisions that informed the provisions of the laws. Also, it will explore the role of the Election Commission of India in ensuring the integrity of the electoral process and its extent of authority in relation to the absence of a constitutional theory about its responsibility (Bhat, 2023). Another aspect that the given research will touch upon is relationships between laws, judicial decisions, and administrative measures of electoral institutions and how these combine to affect the electoral system (The Entrenchment of Democracy, 2024). The insistence of the judiciary to enforce the fairness of political funding through the transparent political election by the unconstitutional Electoral Bond Scheme is another evidence of the active intervention of the judiciary in the political system (Kumar, 2024)(Kumar, 2024)(Electoral Bonds and Political Funding in India: Transparency, Corruption, and Democratic Implications, 2025). This constitutional encroachment underscores the unceasing

necessity of powerful legal provisions in order to avoid undue influence and create equality in participation in democracy. Moreover, the court examines the activities of the Election Commission especially when it seems that they exceed constitutional or legislative limits (Bhat, 2021). It is particularly important in the context of the issues related to achieving the balance between the autonomy of the Election Commission and its responsibility, which is a common subject in comparative law and politics (Bhat, 2021)..

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK: CONSTITUTIONAL SCRUTINY AND ELECTORAL LAW

In this section the theory underpinning constitutional review is outlined in terms of its application to the Indian electoral regulations. It looks at the impact of judicial review in protecting against the overreach by the legislature or the executive that may negate free and fair elections. Several areas of electoral jurisprudence will be discussed such as clause in the constitution concerning elections and the People Representative Act, 1951, which deals with election activities.

Constitutional Mandate for Free and Fair Elections

Free and fair elections are the foundation of the Indian system of democracy, which is deeply inscribed in the Constitution and constantly followed by the Supreme

Court (Equbal, 2019). The superintendence, direction, and control of elections, in the article, 324, are specifically vested on the Election Commission of India, an independent agency to guarantee an impartial election and electoral integrity (Bhat, 2021). This is because the constitutional mandate requires intense examination to censure the correspondence of all the electoral legislations with the democratic prerogatives and to avert the subversiveness of the popular will (Oluwadayisi et al., 2024). Judicial review by the judiciary is often used to determine the constitutionality of the electoral law as well as the administrative acts which are operated by the democratic principles and rules (The Entrenchment of Democracy," 2024).

Judicial Review in Electoral Matters

The idea of judicial review is vital in the Indian electoral affairs and it is mainly because there is the possibility of partisan in the legislation of election laws, given that parliament has the ultimate authority to enact legislation on election laws both nationally and at state levels (Tuovinen et al., 2021). Such a legislative monopoly also demands a watchful judicial system to stop the ruling party in passing laws that enhance power or disenfranchise particular categories of voters as it has been used in other democracies across history (Tuovinen et al., 2021). As a result, the judiciary of India always claims the right to review the electoral laws and uphold the compliance with the basic rights and other general principles of democracy in governance. This control addresses such key issues as the registration of political parties, the disclosure of candidates, and the implementation of electoral standards (Bhat, 2021). This type of strict judicial control will hold the ECI, although independent of the constitution, within the frames of law and will not be biased (Singh, 2021). The role of the ECI is critical, since under the constitution, it has the mandate of proposing and executing electoral reforms that promote fair play and transparency (Equbal, 2019).

Key Constitutional Provisions Governing Elections in India

Indian elections are based on the legal framework which is mainly in Articles 324-329 of the Constitution. Such articles establish the powers and responsibilities of the ECI and establish authority in legislation over the electoral processes. They established the structure of parliamentary and state legislature elections such as the ones that instituted compulsory universal adult suffrage and vote eligibility. The Representation of the People Act, 1951, expounds further on these provisions of the constitution concerning the smooth running of elections, qualification/disqualification of membership of the legislature and the registration of political parties (Rajeev, 2020). Also, the constitutional principles of directives, and the foundational rights facilitate parliamentary law interpretations by ensuring that they discover social and economic justice and safeguard the liberties of individuals (Bahuguna, 2023). These are all part of a solid legal framework upon which the electoral democracy of India relies and offers a footing to the judicial system when this its integrity faces attack (Bhat, 2023). The courts tend to fulfill these clauses by

expanding their applications to promote democratic participation and equality in the face of changing political situations (2021).

The Role of the Election Commission of India

The ECI is an independent constitutional organ that supervises elections and oversees parliament elections and state legislature elections, thus ensuring the protection of the Indian democracy (Katju, 2020). These consist of the fair and unbiased enforcement of electoral statutes, free and fair elections, and the implementation of the Model Code of Conduct (Naganoor, 2024). Its work is also expanded to voter registration, drawing boundaries of constituencies, and one of the electoral disputes, making sure that we do not violate the idea of one person, one vote (Equbal, 2019). Although formed as constitutionally independent, the autonomy of the Commission recently has come into question, and the discussion of its independence in its operational functions and accountability has occurred, especially regarding appointing and terming its members (Tummala, 2023). Nevertheless, the ECI has played a pivotal role in the history of supporting democratic values by banning several political parties, which do not comply with the requirements of the regulations and by facilitating fair demarcation of electoral areas by the Delimitation Commission (Tummala, 2023). The attainment of electoral reforms by the Commission also reflects its interest in improving the transparency and fairness, such as the ways to mitigate electoral malpractices and encourage higher political engagement (Kumar, 2020).

'Vote Theft' Allegations: Forms and Legal Responses

Vote theft accusations can take different forms of elections malpractices which can be voter suppression, ballots fraud and tampering with electronic voting machines (Naganoor, 2024). These arguments undermine the people's confidence and require rigorous constitutional controls to see the legal systems be able to neutralize these more advanced types of subversion (Laitonjam et al., 2015). Such claims frequently expose anomalies in voter books, as many missing names raise questions about the management of the Election Commission (Katju, 2020).

Types of Allegations: Booth Capturing, Impersonation, EVM Tampering

Vote theft also involves unlawful campaign funding, biased media coverage, misuse of state resources to manipulate election results, among others, which destroy the democratic fair play (Tummala, 2023). The courts should hence interpret and enforce the laws of an election to effectively reduce these emerging threats without undermining the fundamental right of free and fair electives (Kumar, 2020). To solve these sophisticated issues, there should be active control and regular judicial checks that will provide transparency and accountability in the electoral process. The emergence of digital technologies brings with it new challenges and the law needs to deal with solutions to cyberattacks against electoral systems and misinformation dissemination (Garnett and James,

2020)(Garnett and James, 2023). The latter can be taken as an example, though EVMs were designed to minimize the level of electoral fraud and managed to minimize rigging, particularly, in politically sensitive regions, (Debnath et al., 2017), it is still believed that EVMs can be compromised. This has prompted the need to have more transparency and audible paper trails to increase the confidence of people (Chouhan and Sharma, 2025). Moreover, AI applications and data-driven campaigns in politics open up additional manipulative possibilities, and regulations have to regulate the issue of algorithmic bias and how voter profiling affects ethical concerns (Yu, 2024)(Suliman, 2024). Such technological innovations come with an advantage such as increased voter participation but also create entry points to exposures that requires a formidable legal and constitutional reaction to ensure integrity in elections in the digital era.

Evidence and Verification for Allegations

One of the common methods of verifying the claim of vote theft is an election petition, detection of statistical anomalies, and forensics technique applied to electoral data (Sunkad, 2019)(Warner et al., 2021). When these cases are tried, physical statutory forms are often presented to the court as an effort to sort out the treatment of final results rather than simple human mistake, and compared with partisan information and vote counts (Warner et al., 2021). This high-evidence bar is intended to make a distinction between an off incident and multiple, purposeful, organized attempts to undermine the democratic process, which is used to determine the decisions of courts regarding the validity of an election. Voter-Verifiable Paper Audit Trail with EVMs has greatly contributed to an increased verifiability but the audit of these VVPATs should be transparent and reliable to really create confidence among the population (Mohanty et al., 2019).

Legal Recourse for Addressing 'Vote Theft'

The legal process whereby legal action may be taken against those purporting to have stolen a vote is a multi-tier process commencing with complaints to the Election Commission of India, High Courts by electoral petition and even to the Supreme Court. This hierarchical cluster guarantees that the grievances are considered according to a due process, which validates the role of the judicial branch to be the final decision maker in relation to electoral matters and guardian of democracy. This complex system plays an important role in ensuring accountability and trust so that results of elections may be reversed upon substantial presentation of malfeasance. Besides, the adoption of blockchain into the voting system is an opportunity to improve the security and transparency of the electoral process by ensuring an unrestricted and verifiable database of votes (Kothari et al., 2025).

Challenges in Proving and Prosecuting Electoral Malpractices

Within the context of these legal channels, the standard of proving electoral malpractice cases is so high that in many cases, they demand the presence of impeccable

facts that are difficult to find because some forms of vote theft are so secretive. Moreover, the physical verification of VVPATs with EVM counts is quite limited (only five machines in one constituency) thereby generating a critical bottleneck to a universally applicable process of dealing with such allegations in a cost-prohibitive way, since it is time-intensive to scale such a procedure (Murugesan and Saganvali, 2022). This is an important vulnerability as a small, unnoticeable rogue code that is injected into the EVMs may potentially alter the results, and it can go undetected because of the lack of auditing (Morris, 2024). The given restriction reveals why automated and AI-based solutions, including image processing algorithms and machine learning algorithms, are essential at any cost to perform effective VVPAT verification, which would enhance the integrity and trustworthiness of the election results (Murugesan and Saganvali, 2022).

Constitutional Scrutiny of Specific Electoral Laws and Practices

Articles 324 until 329 include the Indian Constitution that sets the legal basis of the elections and gives the Election Commission of India a lot of independence to oversee, guide, and regulate the whole process of elections. This requirement ensures that the EC is independent, and this compliance prevents the executive style of empowerment or the interference of the EC by the politics of the nation (Ruparel & Sharma, 2025). The courts during the constitutional review often investigate the electoral procedures and practices in a manner that they contradict basic rights and democracy, making sure that all rules are in practice of free and fair elections. This examination determines the reasonableness and the requirement of any limitation on the freedom of elections, particularly in the context of changing technological conditions and possible ways to abuse those (Ohize et al., 2024).

Scrutiny of Voter Registration and Identification Procedures

The electoral roll integrity is at the highest level; the constitutional scrutiny makes sure that the registration processes cannot destroy electoral ineligibility, but it discourages the fraudulent entries. This entails strict scrutiny of the identification requirements that are to be made to achieve inclusivity and non-discrimination to make sure that no eligible voters are randomly rejected. The legal control also observes voter list updating mechanisms, which must be accurate and transparent so that no problems such as duplication and removal of the legitimate voter are encountered, contributing to the unfairness of the election (Narzary, 2021). This kind of control is important to ensure that people have confidence and uphold the principle that is the backbone, one person and one vote.

Constitutional Challenges to Electronic Voting Machine Functionality

The constitutional concerns of the reliability and integrity of EVMs are highly evident, especially as to the vulnerability to manipulation, level of vote entry, and strength of security measures (Shunmugathammal et al.,

2024). This entails looking into the technical specification, softwareized structure and the whole chain of custody of EVMs to make them strict in their verifiability and auditability, as a way of building more confidence in them in democratic processes in the country. In addition, courts have a crucial role to play in discrediting electoral malpractices, empowering democratic institutions, and spreading constitutional values (Tuovinen et al., 2021). Courts usually check the constitutionality of electoral laws as in those involving districting or qualification of candidates by comparing constitutional courts in different jurisdictions (Romano, 2023)("The Entrenchment of Democracy," 2024).

Examination of Campaign Finance Regulations and Transparency

Campaign finance laws are strictly vetted by constitutional courts to foster transparency and resist the manipulation of power and a neutral playing dependence in the political process, and democratic fairness (The Entrenchment of Democracy, 2024). This questioning tends to strike a balance between the necessity of financial resources in the political campaigns and the necessity of reducing the corruption risks and providing fair access to electoral participation. The judiciary examines the measure against the constitutional standards of free and fair elections to ensure that financial inequality does not work against the democratic process by determining the suitability of regulations, including on political donations (Nackenoff, 2023). This encompasses an evaluation of efficiency of the disclosure demands and amounts of expenditures in the creation of a culture whereby electoral results are based on the will of the populace as opposed to having monies interests taking effect. An additional example of the proactive positioning of constitutional courts in supporting the integrity of elections is the situation, where overseas courts have issued orders that enforce changes in the electoral regulations so that they are in line with the principle of impartiality ("The Entrenchment of Democracy," 2024).

JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THE ELECTION COMMISSION'S POWERS AND DISCRETION

The courts usually scrutinize how the Election Commission uses its broad powers where other people are complaining of bias or unfair ruling. This is to guarantee that the Commission operates within the constitutional boundaries and does so to promote free and fair elections only to do so (The Entrenchment of Democracy, 2024). This check is crucial to ensuring the independence of the Commission and the absence of overstep, which will negatively affect the democratic process (Tuovinen et al., 2021). It needs to be struck just right: the autonomy of the Election Commission is constitutionally guaranteed, but it also ought to keep them accountable and follow the rules of democracy (The Entrenchment of Democracy, 2024). This balance can ensure that the activities of the Election Commission not only enhance electoral integrity but also allow their activity to be reviewed in the courts, so it is not transformed into an unelected power (The Entrenchment of Democracy, 2024). It is especially significant

considering the historical cases when judicial intervention even by unconventional actors either supported or disempowered the pursuit of good democratic decisions (The Entrenchment of Democracy, 2024). Another measure against democratic decay is the role of an independent electoral commission, which may nevertheless be accused of misconduct, and even these organs may be charged with political favoritism (The Entrenchment of Democracy, 2024) (Schultz-Knudsen et al., 2023).

Impact of Constitutional Scrutiny on Public Trust and Democratic Legitimacy

The continued court monitoring increases the confidence of the citizens in elections, demonstrating that the democratic institutions are able to resolve the issues and rectify them. This means that essential democratic values are enforced through the stringent review of the election rules and procedures by the highest courts especially in situations where electoral malpractice and a breach of these regulations have been accused (The Entrenchment of Democracy, 2024). This is the essential judicial intervention in situations where there are fears of rather expedited, and therefore, politically driven appointments to electoral organs, something that will destroy the confidence of the electoral organs (Tummala, 2023).

Public Perception of Electoral Fairness

The perception that elections are fair and transparent is directly related to the levels of public confidence in the results of such elections. The judiciary review is supposed to fortify this by causing adherence to constitutional frames (Fikriya et al., 2024). Intervention by the courts to rectify the passing of perverted elections or to interpret ambiguous laws can be of great overcoming effect of perceiving election outcomes, especially in new democracies (Langford et al., 2021). On the other hand, in case of a perceived politicization of the judiciary or inconsistency, the population may lose confidence in the system of justice, and misgivings might emerge regarding the political objectivity of the judiciary in ensuring the democracy rule (The Entrenchment of Democracy," 2024). An example of how judicial intervention can support and refute the perception of electoral integrity is the invalidation of a presidential election by the Kenyan Supreme Court in 2017 because of irregularities (The Entrenchment of Democracy, 2024).

Role of Judiciary in Upholding Democratic Values

The judiciary serves as an important checkpoint to democratic backsliding to maintain consistency of basic democratic institutions and processes with the constitutional principles even in the times of political pressure and electoral fray (Tuovinen et al., 2021). It involves maintaining the rule of law and observing all the electoral practices in accordance with the constitutional provisions and, thus, avoiding the erosion of democratic principles (Tuovinen et al., 2021). In fact, the courts with the highest authorities are being perceived as critical to the protection of constitutional democracy, particularly in cases when other branches of

the government fail (Tuovinen et al., 2021). This critical importance goes further to checking the constitutionality of laws on elections and the performances of the electoral institutions to ensure the subjugation of the will of the people and at the same time makes the state institutions accountable (Tuovinen et al., 2021). In addition to this, open judicial elections, which can be accompanied by livestreaming, make people more confident that the judicial system is working properly by increasing the possibility of access to information and accountability (Tuovinen et al., 2021).

Strengthening Citizen Participation and Confidence

Constitutional scrutiny empowers the citizens and motivates them to be actively and actively engaged in the democratic process by guaranteeing the integrity and transparency of elections (Gonzalez et al., 2023). It makes the results of the elections more legitimate and strengthens the conviction that their votes can be used to legitimize the administrative process (Appiah-Thompson, 2021). An active role of the judiciary in the interpretation of election laws and solving a dispute is also a significant contributor to the civic educating factor, as it clarifies the intricate legal system and the influence of this on democratic participation. This educative role plays a vital role in developing an educated electorate that can differentiate between the legal electoral outcomes and the one that is marred by malpractice (Tuovinen et al., 2021). Such active involvement makes people more appreciable to the democratic institutions and rule of law and eventually establishes the principles of a participatory democracy. This, consequently, can curb possible manipulators since the judicial system will be an active watchdog against the efforts to undermine the electoral fairness (Tuovinen et al., 2021).

Challenges to Maintaining Public Trust amidst Allegations

The society and region that has to contend with the allegations of electoral fraud, called vote chori in India, cannot be easily trusted by the population, which is further aggravated by the political polarization and fake news (The Entrenchment of Democracy," 2024). The perceived objectivity and adherence to constitutional ideals by the judiciary will take precedence in such settings to stop the further loss of trust and the possible social upheaval (Appiah-Thompson, 2021). The courts have frequently denied candidly that the lawfulness of the electioneering process based on claims of electoral fraud case examined by judicial reviews has conflicted premises of popular sovereignty against credibility of courts, particularly when political groups claim bias (Appiah-Thompson, 2021). In its turn, these results in that the judiciary needs to strike a balance between preserving the sanctity of elections and institutional legitimacy in the wake of all controversial political issues (Guiffre, 2022). It necessitates the existence of a well-built and independent judiciary capable of making independent rulings based purely on the laws, and thus showing their unswerving devotion to electoral integrity and constitutional faithfulness ("The Entrenchment of Democracy," 2024)(Visser, 2022).

CONCLUSION

The democratic institutions are reliant on the open and equal implementation of the election laws and this necessitates constant vigilance and judicial scrutiny to counter other emerging threats to the electoral fair play. It is of particular importance in such countries as India where the citizens can attack the principles of the democratic legitimacy and trust through the cases of the vote chori. Independent and proactive judiciary is, therefore, vital in the nursing of the rule of law and safeguarding subversion of the democratic process. This form of judicial check most especially by close constitutional review of the election laws and processes serves as a vital mechanism in securing a level playing field during the electoral process and that the will of the electorate is actually translated into the outcome of the electoral process in the governance (Higashijima et al., 2024). It mandates the implementation of legal criteria in evaluating claims of ensure malpractice during elections in a manner that their decisions are seen as impartial and substantially fair (Tuovinen et al., 2021). The continued decrease of the quality of democracy, which is frequently concealed under the banner of the law, necessitates the judiciary, besides interpreting, take proactive measures to safeguard the most basic democratic institutions, which, by the effect, shaping the electoral reactions to electoral malfeasance (Aarslew, 2023). It encompasses investigating the impact of electoral malpractice, which is a surpassance of the most significant institution of democracy, on voter opinion and confidence in democracy (Aarslew, 2023). Moreover, an important element of this judicial stance is the need to identify the actual anomalies and false statements, particularly in the climate where partisan accounts are easy to disregard valid outcomes of the election process (Alexander, 2023). Such a judgment is essential to ensuring the validity of the outcome of elections and ensuring that democracy is entrenched by means of calculated orchestrated lies ("The Entrenchment of Democracy," 2024) (Aarslew, 2023). The success of the judiciary to have functional non-partisanship as opposed to the formal independence is thus crucial towards enhancing democratic institutions and electoral integrity (Tokaji, 2022). This involves creating an independent and incorruptible national judicial service directly acting under the command of the Supreme Court, a provision of judicial representation and accountability to all levels of government service, in which the citizens have an interaction with the executive action (Tuovinen et al., 2021).

REFERENCES

1. Aarslew, L. F. (2023). Competing and Cheating : The Consequences of Electoral Malpractice for Public Opinion. *Research Portal Denmark*, 119. <https://local.forskningportal.dk/local/dki-cgi/ws/cris-link?src=au&id=au-eadc34f5-3918-4703-845d-96e172d90e44&ti=Competing%20and%20Cheating%20%3A%20The%20Consequences%20of%20Electoral%20Malpractice%20for%20Public%20Opinion>

2. Alexander, J. C. (2023). Office Obligation as Civil Virtue: The Crisis of American Democracy, November 3, 2020–January 6, 2021, and After. *Society*, 60(5), 651. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12115-023-00883-4>
3. Anjali, Lukose, L. P., & Singh, A. P. (2021). MATERNITY BENEFIT (AMENDMENT) ACT, 2017: A Socio-Legal Study with Special Emphasis on its Implications in Private Sector.
4. Appiah-Thompson, C. (2021). The politics of judicial review of elections in Ghana: Implications for judicial reforms and emerging electoral jurisprudence. *Africa Review*, 13(2), 251. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09744053.2021.1943149>
5. Bahuguna, P. (2023). The Effectiveness of Indian Labor Laws in Protecting the Rights of Workers: A Quantitative Perspective of Indian Labor Law. 55(1). <https://doi.org/10.48047/pne.2018.55.1.36>
6. Bhat, M. M. A. (2021). Governing Democracy Outside the Law: India's Election Commission and the Challenge of Accountability. *Asian Journal of Comparative Law*, 16. <https://doi.org/10.1017/asjcl.2021.30>
7. Bhat, M. M. A. (2023). Between Trust and Democracy: The Election Commission of India and the Question of Constitutional Accountability. In Hart Publishing eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781509948888.ch-014>
8. Chouhan, S., & Sharma, G. (2025). A New Era of Elections: Leveraging Blockchain for Fair and Transparent Voting. <https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2502.16127>
9. Debnath, S., Kapoor, M., & Ravi, S. (2017). The Impact of Electronic Voting Machines on Electoral Frauds, Democracy, and Development. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3041197>
10. Electoral Bonds and Political Funding in India: Transparency, Corruption, and Democratic Implications. (2025). <https://doi.org/10.52783/eel.v15i1.2408>
11. Equbal, Md. N. (2019). Electoral reforms in India: An analysis. *International Journal of Political Science and Governance*, 1(1), 43. <https://doi.org/10.33545/26646021.2019.v1.i1a.186>
12. Fikriya, M., Sulastri, D., Kania, D., & Rizqia, R. N. (2024). Examining the Role of Indonesia's Constitutional Court as a Positive Legislator. *Rechtsidee*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.21070/jihr.v12i1.1022>
13. Garnett, H. A., & James, T. S. (2020). Cyber Elections in the Digital Age: Threats and Opportunities of Technology for Electoral Integrity. *Election Law Journal Rules Politics and Policy*, 19(2), 111. <https://doi.org/10.1089/elj.2020.0633>
14. Garnett, H. A., & James, T. S. (2023). Electoral backsliding? Democratic divergence and trajectories in the quality of elections worldwide. *Electoral Studies*, 86, 102696. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electstud.2023.102696>
15. González, R., Mackenna, B., Scherman, A., & Joignant, A. (2023). Fairness beyond the ballot: A comparative analysis of failures of electoral integrity, perceptions of electoral fairness, and attitudes towards democracy across 18 countries. *Electoral Studies*, 87, 102740. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electstud.2023.102740>
16. Guiffre, C. I. (2022). Deliberative constitutionalism 'without shortcuts': On the deliberative potential of Cristina Lafont's judicial review theory. *Global Constitutionalism*, 12(2), 215. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s2045381722000211>
17. Higashijima, M., Kadoya, H., & Yanai, Y. (2024). The Dynamics of Electoral Manipulation and Institutional Trust in Democracies: Election Timing, Blatant Fraud, and the Legitimacy of Governance. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 88, 472. <https://doi.org/10.1093/poq/nfae022>
18. Katju, M. (2020). Institutional initiatives towards expanding democracy: the Election Commission of India and electoral mobilisation. *Contemporary South Asia*, 29(2), 147. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09584935.2020.1775179>
19. Kothari, S., Koparde, S., Joshi, S., & Joshi, N. (2025). TrustChain: A Blockchain-Enabled Verifiable Digital Voting Solution for Election Integrity. *Ingénierie Des Systèmes d'Information*, 30(3). <https://doi.org/10.18280/isi.300308>
20. Kumar, D. (2024). The Supreme Court's Verdict on Electoral Bonds: Proposing a New Framework for Political Funding in India. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4764454>
21. Kumar, V. (2020). Electoral reforms in India: Needs, issues and challenges. *International Journal of Political Science and Governance*, 2(2), 4. <https://doi.org/10.33545/26646021.2020.v2.i2a.45>
22. Laitonjam, Muhindro, & Singh. (2015). Elections & Human Rights in India and Mauritius : Micro Analysis Through Electoral Integrity. *国际关系与外交: 英文版*, 3(4), 279. <http://www.cqvip.com/QK/72163X/201504/666968121.html>
23. Langford, M., Schiel, R., & Wilson, B. M. (2021). The Rise of Electoral Management Bodies: Diffusion and Effects. *Asian Journal of Comparative Law*, 16. <https://doi.org/10.1017/asjcl.2021.29>
24. Mohanty, V., Culnane, C., Stark, P. B., & Teague, V. (2019). Auditing Indian Elections. In *Lecture notes in computer science* (p. 150). Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-30625-0_10
25. Morris, S. (2024). A Mechanism for Wholesale Corruption of Indian Voting Machines (Evms). *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4772776>
26. Murugesan, P., & Saganvali, S. D. (2022). An AI-Powered VVPAT Counter for Elections in India. *arXiv* (Cornell University). <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2212.11124>
27. Nackenoff, C. (2023). The Place of Constitutional Courts in Regimes Embracing Popular Sovereignty. In *Cambridge University Press eBooks* (p. 257).

- Cambridge University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009263757.018>
28. Naganoor, M. (2024). A Study on Electoral Reforms and Their Effectiveness in Enhancing Democratic Participation in Indian Context. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 5(7), 1141. <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.5.0724.1726>
29. Narzary, R. (2021). Democracy at Risk: An Analysis of Electronic Voting Machines Security And Their Impact On Indian Democracy. *Psychology and Education Journal*, 58(1), 2928. <https://doi.org/10.17762/pae.v58i1.1190>
30. Ohize, H. O., Onumanyi, A. J., Umar, B. U., Ajao, L. A., Isah, R. O., Dogo, E. M., Nuhu, B. K., Olaniyi, O. M., Ambafi, J. G., Sheidu, V. B., & Ibrahim, M. (2024). Blockchain for securing electronic voting systems: a survey of architectures, trends, solutions, and challenges. *Cluster Computing*, 28(2). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10586-024-04709-8>
31. Oluwadayisi, A. O., Akinola, O. B., & Olatubora, R. P. (2024). The jurisprudence of the supreme court in electoral matters and the reality of the sui generis doctrine. *Deleted Journal*, 6(1), 108. <https://doi.org/10.59568/kiulj-2024-6-1-06>
32. Rajeev, S. (2020). Thematic analysis on the Indian Representation of People's Act, 1951. *International Journal of Human Rights and Constitutional Studies*, 7(3), 209. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijhrscs.2020.10031411>
33. Romano, A. (2023). Constitutional Courts Dealing with Electoral Systems: a Comparative Look at Constitutional Adjudication on Electoral Equality. *Revista de Investigações Constitucionais*, 10(2), 232. <https://doi.org/10.5380/rinc.v10i2.89827>
34. Ruparel, A., & Sharma, M. L. (2025). Election Laws and Practices in India. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5192663>
35. Schultz-Knudsen, M., Albert, R., Landau, D., Faraguna, P., & Andrade, G. D. R. (2023). Denmark - 2022 review of constitutional law. *Research Portal Denmark*, 118. <https://local.forskningsportal.dk/local/dki-cgi/ws/cris-link?src=ku&id=ku-51b79785-8f87-4d60-9c84-36b78c306961&ti=Denmark%20-%202022%20review%20of%20constitutional%20law>
36. Shunmugathammal, M., Lalitha, K., Mughuntha, V., Harish, B., BM, S. A., & KB, N. (2024). Transparelect: A Comprehensive Approach to Biometric-Enabled Electronic Voting. *Research Square* (Research Square). <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-5488205/v1>
37. Singh, M. N. (2021). Election Commission, Electoral Democracy and Constitutionalization of Elections in India. *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, 67(2), 165. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00195561211022579>
38. Suliman, M. (2024). Data Driven Campaigns as a Threat to Electoral Fairness: a Critical Analysis of the Regulatory Regime. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4934818>
39. Sunkad, G. (2019). The Election System in India. *Journal of Political Sciences & Public Affairs*, 7(1), 1. <https://www.longdom.org/open-access/the-election-system-in-india.pdf>
40. The Entrenchment of Democracy. (2024). In Cambridge University Press eBooks. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009447713>
41. Tokaji, D. P. (2022). Comparative election administration: a legal perspective on electoral institutions. In Edward Elgar Publishing eBooks. Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781788119023.00036>
42. Tummala, K. K. (2023). Is constitutional democracy in India in crisis? *Public Administration and Policy*, 26(3), 282. <https://doi.org/10.1108/pap-02-2023-0023>
43. Tuovinen, J., Daly, T. G., & Sadurski, W. (2021). Democratic Backsliding in South Africa : The Case of the “Secrecy Bill”. *Research Portal Denmark*, 78. <https://local.forskningsportal.dk/local/dki-cgi/ws/cris-link?src=au&id=au-7cfe468d-a266-4c05-b78e-2213c7df779b&ti=Democratic%20Backsliding%20in%20South%20Africa%20%3A%20The%20Case%20of%20the%20%201CSecrecy%20Bill%22>
44. Visser, M. D. (2022). Promoting Constitutional Literacy: What Role for Courts? *German Law Journal*, 23(8), 1121. <https://doi.org/10.1017/glj.2022.73>
45. Warner, Z., Harris, J. A., Brown, M., & Arnold, C. (2021). Hidden in plain sight? Irregularities on statutory forms and electoral fraud. *Electoral Studies*, 74, 102411. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electstud.2021.102411>
46. Yu, C. (2024). How Will AI Steal Our Elections? <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/un7ev>