

The Green Gamble or the Governance Overhaul? Strategic Dilemmas at Adani Enterprises.

Shashwati Khuas¹, Prof. Anuradha Samal²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Business Administration, Sambalpur University, Burla, Sambalpur-768019, India
khuasshashwati@gmail.com

²Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration, Sambalpur University, Burla, Sambalpur-768019, India..

ABSTRACT

Adani Enterprises Limited (AEL), the principal incubator of the Adani Group, is at a pivotal moment where swift economic growth intersects with the examination of worldwide ESG evaluations. The corporation has established itself as a pioneer in the energy transition by making substantial investments in green hydrogen, renewable infrastructure, airports, and digital ecosystems. These initiatives have garnered robust environmental and social ratings from S&P Global and the Carbon Disclosure Project, particularly for climate strategy, green investments, and human capital management. Conversely, enduring governance issues exacerbated by the 2023 Hindenburg charges including ambiguous ownership structures, significant promoter concentration, and intricate related-party transactions; persistently impact investor confidence, as seen by low ratings from MSCI and Sustainalytics.

This divergence presents a paradox for leadership: environmental accomplishments and social commitments draw climate-oriented capital and correspond with India's national development objectives, yet inadequate governance frameworks erode institutional trust, increase financing costs, and jeopardise access to conservative global funds. The forthcoming strategic decisions centre on three potential avenues: intensifying environmental investments to lead the green economy discourse, executing a thorough governance reform to restore trust, or implementing targeted measures that yield gradual enhancements in ESG scores.

The case highlights a wider conflict encountered by emerging-market conglomerates: balancing the need of scale, speed, and national importance with the institutional credibility required by global investors. It underscores that ESG evaluations, rather than being ancillary, now serve as a critical determinant in formulating company strategy, securing sustainable finance, and fostering long-term value development.

Keywords: Green hydrogen, emerging markets, sustainable finance, corporate legitimacy, investor trust.

INTRODUCTION:

Opening: A Tale of Two Reports

The monsoon rain streaked down the panoramic windows of Jugeshinder "Robbie" Singh's office in Ahmedabad, mirroring the turbulence in his own mind. It was late July 2025. Just a month had passed since the Group's 33rd Annual General Meeting, an event that had projected an image of unwavering strength and forward momentum [10]. As the Group Chief Financial Officer of Adani Enterprises Limited (AEL), Singh had been a key architect of that narrative. The story was one of "Growth with Goodness," a multi-decade saga of unprecedented value creation, with shareholder returns massively outpacing the market index and a clear roadmap for incubating the next generation of cash-flow unicorns [10].

On his mahogany desk, two narratives lay in stark opposition. The first was the triumphant story he presented to the world, captured in the glossy pages of the investor presentation. It was a story validated by strong ESG ratings from certain influential quarters. S&P Global had awarded AEL an ESG score of 60, placing the

company in the 97th percentile of its industry sector. The report lauded AEL's performance, granting it the "Top Score in Social dimension in the 100th percentile" [10]. This was reinforced by a coveted 'A-' rating from the Carbon Disclosure Project (CDP), signifying leadership in climate commitment and transparency. These were powerful endorsements, tangible proof points that AEL was not just growing, but growing responsibly.

However, Singh knew better than to rely on a single story. The second narrative, the one that demanded his urgent attention, was told by a different set of ratings that were far more severe. A "CCC" rating from MSCI, a global titan in investment analysis, suggested significant ESG laggardness. This was compounded by a "High Risk" designation from Sustainalytics, with a score of 33.4.[10] For a CFO whose job was to secure billions in international capital, this divergence was not a mere academic curiosity; it was a clear and present danger. Global investors did not read just one report; they saw the entire mosaic, and the picture it formed was one of profound contradiction.

Exhibit 1: Conflicting ESG Ratings (FY2024)

Rating Agency	Score/Designation	Implication	Source Document
S&P Global	60 (97th Percentile)	Industry Leader	[10], p. 17
CDP	A-	Leadership Category	[10], p. 17
Sustainalytics	33.4	High Risk	[10], p. 17
MSCI	CCC	Significant ESG Laggard	[10], p. 17

The source of this conflict became painfully clear when Singh drilled down into the S&P Global ESG Score breakdown. The headline number of 60 concealed a stark internal imbalance. The company scored an impressive 75 on the Environmental pillar, a testament to its massive, highly visible investments in solar manufacturing and green hydrogen [15]. But the Governance & Economic pillar score was a deeply concerning 39.

This wasn't just a low number; it was the ghost of January 2023. It was the echo of the Hindenburg Research report, a scathing document from a US-based short-seller that had accused the Adani Group of "brazen stock manipulation and accounting fraud." The report's allegations, which the company vehemently denied, had targeted the very heart of Adani's governance structure: its complex web of subsidiaries, high family ownership [17], and the use of offshore entities [16]. The ensuing market panic had, for a time, erased over \$70 billion in shareholder wealth and forced AEL to pull a fully subscribed \$2.5 billion follow-on public offering.

While the market had since recovered, Singh understood that the underlying investor concerns about governance had not vanished; they had simply been papered over by impressive operational growth and a successful ₹4,200 crore (~USD 500 million) fundraising via a Qualified Institutional Placement (QIP) [10].

Now, with the upcoming board meeting to finalise the next five-year capital allocation strategy, the contradiction could no longer be ignored. The "Path of E", doubling down on the Green Hydrogen ecosystem and other incubating businesses, was the company's public face. It promised a clean energy future for India and aligned perfectly with the government's strategic priorities [10]. But this path required immense capital from the very international investors who were reading the MSCI and Hindenburg reports.

The "Path of G", a fundamental and costly overhaul of corporate governance, was murkier and offered fewer opportunities for celebratory press releases. It would mean appointing more independent directors, untangling related-party transactions, and committing to a level of transparency that could feel uncomfortable for a family-led conglomerate. Yet, it directly addressed the core

criticisms that were depressing the company's ratings and raising its cost of capital.

As the CFO, Singh had to champion a strategy. Staring out at the rain-swept city, he weighed the options. One path offered tangible growth and visible green assets. The other offered intangible trust and institutional resilience. Which path would secure the Adani Group's legacy for the next thirty years?

Organization Background

Adani Enterprises Limited (AEL) stood as the apex of the Adani Group, a conglomerate whose identity was inextricably linked with India's ambitious infrastructure development. Founded in 1988 by its charismatic chairman, Gautam Adani, the group began as a commodity trading firm before embarking on a three-decade journey of aggressive expansion [13]. AEL's core function within the group was that of a strategic business incubator. Its stated model was to identify and nurture promising, capital-intensive infrastructure ventures. Once a business line achieved operational maturity and a stable cash flow, AEL would demerge it into a separately listed entity, unlocking value for shareholders and allowing the new company to attract its own capital. This process had successfully spun off major entities like Adani Ports and Special Economic Zone (APSEZ), Adani Power, and Adani Green Energy, transforming AEL into what the company termed a "creator of cashflow unicorns" [10].

As of 2025, AEL's portfolio was a complex mix of mature, cash-generating legacy businesses and nascent, high-growth "incubating" ventures. This structure was organised into several key verticals. [10] [11]

Established Businesses: The primary revenue driver was Integrated Resources Management (IRM), accounting for 41% of turnover and representing the group's deep roots in coal trading and mining services. Other significant contributors included commercial mining, copper, and petrochemicals [11]. These businesses were the group's financial bedrock but also a significant source of its environmental controversies.

Incubating Businesses: These represented the future focus of the group. The portfolio was dominated by the New Energy Ecosystem (Green Hydrogen, solar and wind manufacturing), Data Centres, and Airports. In FY2024-

25, these incubating businesses showed explosive EBITDA growth of 68% and were responsible for a staggering 60% of the company's capital allocation, signalling a clear strategic pivot.[10] The airports

division, with seven operational airports serving nearly a quarter of India's passenger traffic, was particularly important as it represented the group's most significant direct-to-consumer (B2C) interface [10].

Exhibit 2: Financial Contribution of Business Segments (FY2024-25)

Business Segment Category	Key Businesses	% of Turnover	EBITDA Growth (YoY)
Established Businesses	IRM, Commercial Mining, Copper	~51%+	-9%
Incubating Businesses	New Energy, Airports, Data Centers	~24%+	68%

(Source: [11], p. 3; [10], p. 16)

This sprawling enterprise was controlled through a tightly held ownership structure. The Adani family, acting as "promoters," directly held a 73.97% equity stake in the flagship AEL [10]. This concentration of power, while enabling swift, decisive action, was a persistent red flag for governance watchdogs and institutional investors. The company's key stakeholders were a diverse group with often competing interests. They included government bodies that awarded critical infrastructure licenses, financial institutions that provided massive debt financing, a growing base of international equity investors (like GQG Partners), and civil society organisations that were among the company's most vocal critics [17]. The company's official public stance, encapsulated in its motto "Growth with Goodness," aimed to harmonise these interests by framing its business activities as a form of nation-building.

Natural Resources (Coal Mining & Mineral Trading)

AEL entered the natural resources sector in the early 2000s, launching coal mining and mineral trading operations to ensure energy security for India's growing power demand. It became the largest private coal mine operator in India, managing significant domestic blocks such as Pachhwarra Central (Jharkhand) and Gare Palma Sector III (Chhattisgarh), and operating the Carmichael coal mine in Australia, one of the world's largest thermal coal projects. As of FY 2023–24, the company handled 109 MMT of coal trading volume, representing a significant contribution to India's coal logistics and power generation ecosystem (Adani Enterprises, 2024).

Energy & Green Hydrogen (Adani New Industries Ltd.)

Formed in 2022, Adani New Industries Ltd. (ANIL) spearheads AEL's foray into clean energy, aiming to become the world's largest green hydrogen producer by 2030. The company has committed investments of over USD 50 billion for integrated manufacturing of electrolyzers, solar modules, wind turbines, and green ammonia. The Khavda renewable energy park in Gujarat, projected to produce over 20 GW of solar and wind power, is one of the largest clean energy projects globally. ANIL's initiative is expected to supply 1 million metric tonnes per annum (MTPA) of green hydrogen for industrial decarbonization (Adani Enterprises, 2024; IEA, 2023).

Airports (Adani Airports Holdings Ltd.)

AEL entered the airport infrastructure sector in 2019 by winning bids to operate six major airports under a public-private partnership with the Airports Authority of India (AAI), later acquiring Mumbai International Airport Ltd. in 2021. These seven airports together handled ~80 million passengers in FY 2023–24, representing over 23% of India's total airport traffic. Adani Airports also manages 33% of air cargo handled at Indian airports, positioning itself as a key enabler of India's aviation and logistics ecosystems (AAI, 2024; Adani Enterprises, 2024).

Digital Infrastructure (AdaniConneX – Data Centers)

Incorporated in 2021, AdaniConneX, a joint venture between AEL and EdgeConneX (USA), aims to create a 1 GW green data center platform across India. With data consumption growing at 30% CAGR, India requires massive digital infrastructure investments. AdaniConneX has launched hyperscale projects in Chennai, Noida, Hyderabad, and Mumbai, with the Chennai data center expected to handle over 50 MW capacity by 2025. All facilities are being built to run on 100% renewable power, in line with Adani's ESG vision (EdgeConneX, 2023; Adani Enterprises, 2024).

Roads & Highways Infrastructure

AEL began its transport infrastructure vertical in 2018, securing major highway development projects under the National Highways Authority of India (NHAI) schemes. It currently manages over 500 km of national highways, including projects like the Ganga Expressway (Uttar Pradesh) and Bilaspur-Pathrapali Highway (Chhattisgarh). These projects are executed under the Hybrid Annuity Model (HAM) and have boosted connectivity and reduced logistics cost for regional economies. AEL aims to expand its road portfolio to 1,500+ km by 2026 (NHAI, 2024).

Agri-business & Food Processing (Adani Wilmar Ltd.)

Established in 1999 as a 50:50 JV with Wilmar International (Singapore), Adani Wilmar Ltd. is now one of India's largest FMCG players in the food and agri-processing sector. Its flagship brand Fortune dominates the edible oil market with over 20% market share, and its

revenue surpassed INR 55,000 crore in FY 2023–24. The company has a pan-India distribution network of over 1.6 million retail outlets and 22 production units, and it exports to more than 50 countries (Adani Wilmar, 2024).

Defence & Aerospace (Adani Defence & Aerospace)

AEL established its defence and aerospace division in 2015, aiming to contribute to India's self-reliance in defence manufacturing. It has invested in building India's first private sector UAV and drone manufacturing unit in Hyderabad and has partnered with international firms like Elbit Systems (Israel) and PLR Systems for small arms production. The company is a registered vendor for the Indian Army, Navy, and DRDO, and is rapidly expanding its footprint in radar, avionics, and MRO services. In FY 2023–24, the segment secured contracts worth over INR 2,000 crore (MoD, 2024).

Logistics and Integrated Supply Chain

AEL began building its integrated logistics platform in 2012, supporting both captive and external clients across sectors like coal, food, and cement. The company operates a network of rail sidings, inland container depots (ICDs), and multi-modal logistics parks, facilitating the movement of over 120 MMT of goods annually. With the rise of supply chain digitalization and multimodal transport under the Gati Shakti National Master Plan, Adani's logistics vertical is playing a central role in improving India's logistics performance index (LPI) (World Bank, 2023).

Strategic Outlook

Adani Enterprises' sectoral diversification, asset-light incubation model, and commitment to sustainability make it one of India's most forward-looking conglomerates. With a strong pipeline in green energy, digital infrastructure, and defense, and consistent double-digit revenue growth, AEL is strategically positioned to shape India's economic and infrastructural future. Its market capitalization crossed INR 3 lakh crore in 2024, reflecting investor confidence in its long-term vision (Bloomberg, 2024).

Area of Interest: The ESG Puzzle

For Robbie Singh, the core of the dilemma lay in the conflicting signals being sent by ESG rating agencies. These were not merely subjective opinions; they were data-driven assessments that directly influenced AEL's ability to attract the long-term, patient capital it needed for its infrastructure projects. An analysis of the detailed S&P Global ESG Score breakdown revealed a company performing at opposite ends of the spectrum simultaneously [15]. The overall score of 60 was composed of a high Environmental score of 75, a middling social score of 70, and a troublingly low Governance & Economic score of 39.

The Strong 'E': A Story of Green Investment

The high Environmental score was AEL's proudest talking point and the result of a deliberate and capital-intensive strategy. The company was making a multi-

billion-dollar bet on the global energy transition, establishing a fully integrated green hydrogen "ecosystem" [10]. This included building a 4 GW solar cell and module manufacturing plant and a 2.25 GW wind turbine facility [10]. These investments yielded strong scores in criteria like Environmental Policy (100) and Climate Strategy (75) [14]. Furthermore, the company had set ambitious public targets, such as powering its data centres with 100% renewable energy by 2030 and making its airports operationally net-zero by 2029 [11]. This was a clear, positive, and easily communicable narrative that resonated well with investors focused on climate action.

The Conflicted 'S': Praise and Protest

The Social score presented a more complicated picture. On paper, AEL was a leader. The company had achieved the "Top Score in Social dimension" in the S&P Corporate Sustainability Assessment [10]. It reported spending ₹82.91 crore (~USD 10 million) on consolidated CSR activities in FY25, focusing on education, healthcare, and sustainable livelihoods [10]. Its S&P score for Human Capital Management was a solid 79. However, this official narrative was persistently challenged by high-profile social controversies. The Media and Stakeholder Analysis section of the S&P report highlighted ongoing "social and environmental concerns" over the Carmichael Coal Mine in Australia and, more recently, allegations of racial discrimination filed by First Nations people against an Adani subsidiary [16]. These events created a significant gap between the company's stated policies and the lived experience reported by certain stakeholder groups, resulting in a low score for Human Rights (65) that undercut its otherwise strong social performance [14].

The Weak 'G': The Hindenburg Shadow

The Governance score was, without question, AEL's Achilles' heel. The S&P breakdown was brutal, with scores of absolute zero for critical criteria like Corporate Governance and Business Ethics [15]. This quantitative failure was the numerical representation of the Hindenburg allegations. The report had specifically targeted issues of board accountability and the complex web of related-party transactions, and the ratings reflected a deep-seated scepticism among analysts. The company's own annual report showed that in FY2024-25, 96% of loans and advances and 97% of investments were made with related parties, figures that were certain to draw institutional scrutiny [11]. While AEL had a Corporate Responsibility Committee, it was composed entirely of the same independent directors who sat on the main board, raising questions about true independence in oversight [11]. These poor governance metrics were not just a reputational stain; they directly impacted the company's valuation and the perceived riskiness of its debt and equity. This was the puzzle Singh had to solve: how to fund the celebrated 'E' initiatives when the 'G' foundation appeared so fragile to the outside world.

Exhibit 3: Detailed S&P ESG Scorecard – Areas of Strength and Weakness (FY2024)

ESG Dimension	Criteria	Score (0-100)
Environmental (Score: 75)		
	Environmental Policy & Management	80
	Climate Strategy	75
	Water	95
Social (Score: 70)		
	Human Capital Management	79
	Occupational Health & Safety	70
	Human Rights	65
	Customer Relations	58
Governance & Economic (Score: 39)		
	Corporate Governance	0
	Business Ethics	0
	<i>Sub-score: Anti-Bribery Policy</i>	60
	<i>Sub-score: Whistleblowing</i>	78
	Risk & Crisis Management	0
	Supply Chain Management	77

(Source: S&P Capital IQ, 2025b; [15])

Case Decision: The War Room Alternatives

In the weeks leading up to the board meeting, Robbie Singh’s office became a veritable war room. Financial models were built and dismantled, and the strategic implications of each potential path were debated intensely. The core of the discussions was not *whether* AEL should respond to the ESG pressures, but *how*. The team’s work culminated in three distinct, competing visions for the future, each with a compelling narrative and a significant set of trade-offs.

The Green Accelerator - A Show of Force

This strategy was the most consonant with Adani’s bold, growth-oriented DNA. The argument was simple: lead with overwhelming strength. This path would involve doubling down on the company’s most celebrated and visible initiative, the New Energy Ecosystem. It would mean allocating an even greater share of capital expenditure to accelerate the development of the 10 GW solar cell and module line and the integrated Green

Hydrogen value chain, building on the division’s stunning 108% year-on-year EBITDA growth. [10]

The Rationale: Proponents of this path pointed to the company’s powerful financial performance. With annual revenues approaching ₹3 trillion and a robust Return on Equity of 17.09% in FY2024, the company was a growth engine that the market seemed to reward [12] [10]. The ₹5,500 crore financial closure for the solar cell and module line expansion was proof that capital markets were still accessible for well-defined green projects [10]. This alternative was a tangible, nation-building exercise that aligned perfectly with the Indian government’s “Make in India” policy and its COP26 commitments. The theory was that by building an undeniable green powerhouse, AEL could make its governance issues appear as minor footnotes in a grander story of industrial transformation. It was a strategy designed to dominate the narrative and attract the massive global pool of climate-focused capital.

The Risks: This was a high-stakes, capital-intensive gamble. Critics in the room called it the “siren’s call.” It required AEL to continue taking on significant debt at a time when its governance ratings were actively increasing

its cost of capital. While the company had successfully lowered its Net Debt/EBITDA ratio from a peak of 5.2 to a more manageable 2.3, the balance sheet remained a point of sensitivity [10]. This strategy essentially ignored the root cause of the negative ESG ratings from agencies like MSCI. It was a bet that investors would be so captivated by the 'E' that they would forgive the 'G'. If a major sovereign wealth fund or a pension fund with strict governance mandates decided to divest, it could trigger a cascade of negative sentiment that even a new gigafactory couldn't reverse.

The Governance Overhaul – Swallowing the Bitter Pill

This was the most introspective and politically challenging option on the table. It proposed a fundamental reform of the Group's corporate governance, a direct and public response to the criticisms levelled by Hindenburg and reflected in the company's rock-bottom governance scores. This wasn't about tweaking policies; it was about changing the very structure of power and oversight.

The Rationale: The argument for this path was one of long-term resilience. The core problem, as one analyst on Singh's team put it, was a "trust deficit." The S&P Global scores of 0 for Corporate Governance and Business Ethics were not just poor; they were a complete failure in the eyes of the ratings agency, acting as a permanent anchor on the company's valuation [15]. This strategy proposed specific, painful remedies: recruiting globally recognised, truly independent directors with no prior ties to the Group; establishing a board-level committee with the power to veto related-party transactions; and commissioning a "Big Four" accounting firm to conduct a comprehensive, public review of the company's structure and offshore entities. This was the only path, its supporters argued, that could permanently lower AEL's cost of capital, make its stock a "must-own" for conservative global funds, and finally put the ghost of Hindenburg to rest.

The Risks: This option was fraught with internal peril. Within a conglomerate where the founding family held a supermajority stake of nearly 74%, such a proposal could be seen as a direct challenge to the authority and business philosophy that had fueled its success [10]. It risked replacing Adani's famed agility with what its detractors called "bureaucratic sclerosis." Furthermore, the market rewards for such a move were uncertain and likely long-term. There would be no grand opening ceremony for a new audit policy. This was a path of quiet, difficult

Exhibit 4: Key Financial and Performance Metrics (2020-2025)

Metric	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025 (YTD)
ESG Score	24	26	47	49	60	60
Return on Equity (ROE) %	18.58	8.93	15.23	11.64	17.09	22.62
Net Profit Margin %	4.31	2.76	2.68	2.41	8.88	22.61
Net Debt / Equity	0.73	0.67	0.89	0.21	0.34	0.47
P/E Ratio	47.2	244.6	251.7	93.4	58.5	53.6

(Source: Adani Enterprises Limited, n.d.-a)

institutional reform, and it required a level of patience that might not sit well with investors accustomed to Adani's hyper-growth.

The Targeted Compact – The Strategist's Gambit

The third alternative was a sophisticated middle way, a data-driven strategy focused on achieving the highest ESG impact for the most efficient investment of capital and political will. It rejected the "all or nothing" logic of the other two options in favour of targeted, high-ROI interventions.

The Rationale: This path began with a forensic analysis of the ESG score breakdowns to identify areas where moderate investment could yield significant rating improvements.

On the 'G' front: Instead of a full overhaul, it would focus on criteria where AEL scored poorly but not zero. For instance, the Whistleblowing Mechanism (score of 78) and Anti-Bribery & Anti-Corruption Policy (score of 60) could be dramatically improved through investment in global best-practice software, mandatory training for all 30,000+ workers, and independent audits, all without altering the board's composition. [11] [15]

On the 'S' front: It would address tangible operational weaknesses that impacted the Social score. For example, the 6,339 customer complaints in the Airports business were a specific, solvable problem [11]. The plan would be to launch a "Passenger First" initiative with new technology and staffing, publicly targeting a 50% reduction in complaints within 18 months. This would directly address a B2C pain point and provide a powerful, positive story to counter the negative press on other social issues. This strategy was about demonstrating responsive, data-driven management across all pillars of ESG.

The Risks: The primary danger of this "strategist's gambit" was being perceived as timid and incremental. Would targeted improvements be enough to convince deeply sceptical governance analysts? Would shifting even a small portion of the capex away from the flagship green projects be interpreted as a weakening of the company's commitment to its growth narrative? It was an attempt to please everyone, which risked satisfying no one fully. It was the most complex story to tell and required a level of nuance that might be lost in a market that prefers bold, simple narratives.

The Story That Must Be Told

The city lights of Ahmedabad shimmered through the rain-streaked windows of his office, each a testament to the growth and energy the Adani Group had helped unleash. Robbie Singh pushed back from his desk, the glow of the monitors casting long shadows across the room. The financial models were complete, the risk assessments were written, and the quantitative data from the past decade were neatly arranged in charts and tables [12]. Yet, he knew the final decision could not be found in a spreadsheet. The choice before him was fundamentally philosophical, a decision about the very soul of Adani Enterprises and the narrative it would present to a watchful world.

To recommend the **Green Accelerator** was to champion the path of industrial absolutism. It was a strategy built on audacity and momentum, a belief that building tangible, nation-defining assets at an unstoppable velocity was, in itself, the most powerful form of legitimacy. This path spoke the language the company knew best: scale. It would pour capital into the celebrated Environmental pillar, aiming to create a green energy behemoth so vast and critical to India's future that its high Environmental score (75) would simply eclipse its governance weaknesses [15]. It was a bet that in the global race for green dominance, the sheer might of Adani's execution would command respect, and capital would inevitably follow. But Singh had to ask himself: in a world of increasingly scrupulous investors, was sheer force still enough? Or was it a magnificent, high-speed drive towards a governance-related precipice?

To choose the **Governance Overhaul** was to argue for a profound institutional conversion. It was an admission that the very DNA that had enabled the Group's meteoric rise, its centralised, family-led, agile structure, had now become a liability on the global stage. This path required humility. It meant voluntarily submitting to the scrutiny of outside directors and the rigid frameworks of global best practices. It was a strategy to cure the "trust deficit" at its source, to methodically eliminate the low scores in Business Ethics and Board Independence that were a direct echo of the Hindenburg report [15] [16]. The ultimate prize was institutional legitimacy, a lower cost of capital, and a seat at the table with the world's most conservative and powerful investment funds. Yet, the cost was immense. It risked stifling the entrepreneurial spirit of the Group and could be perceived internally as a capitulation. Was the price of global acceptance a betrayal of the Adani way?

Finally, to endorse the **Targeted Compact** was to walk a strategist's tightrope. It was a modern, technocratic approach that rejected the grand ideologies of the other two paths. It was a calculated, data-driven effort to "manage" the ESG score as a complex system to be optimised. This path acknowledged the problems in all pillars but addressed them with surgical precision, seeking the highest rating-improvement-on-investment. It would mean reallocating capital from a portion of the green mega-projects to fix specific, quantifiable issues in social and governance spheres. It was intellectually elegant.

financially prudent, and politically savvy. But was it enough? In a battle for hearts and minds, a series of clever tweaks could easily be dismissed as a disingenuous half-measure, lacking the powerful, simple narrative needed to truly shift perception. It was a strategy that risked being too clever by half, failing to deliver the knockout blow that the moment seemed to demand.

Singh walked to the window and looked down at the bustling city. The decision would reverberate through every metric he was responsible for, from the P/E ratio that reflected investor confidence to the Net Debt that fueled expansion [12]. It would impact the company's ability to fund its future growth and fulfil its promise of becoming a ₹3 trillion enterprise. The board was not just looking for a financial plan; they were looking for a conviction. They needed a story. A credible, compelling story that would unify their stakeholders and secure their legacy for the next thirty years.

REFERENCES

1. Adani Enterprises. (2024). Annual report 2023–24. <https://www.adanienterprises.com>
2. Adani Wilmar. (2024). Investor presentation FY 2023–24. <https://www.adaniwilmar.com>
3. Airports Authority of India. (2024). Traffic reports 2023–24. <https://www.aai.aero/en/business-opportunities/aai-traffic-news>
4. Bloomberg. (2024). Adani Enterprises market cap data. <https://www.bloomberg.com>
5. EdgeConneX. (2023). AdaniConneX expansion plans [Press release]. <https://www.edgeconnex.com>
6. International Energy Agency. (2023). Global Hydrogen review 2023. <https://www.iea.org/reports/global-hydrogen-review-2023>
7. Ministry of Defence (India). (2024). Annual acquisition report 2023–24. <https://mod.gov.in>
8. National Highways Authority of India. (2024). Project status report 2024. <https://nhai.gov.in>
9. World Bank. (2023). Connecting to compete 2023: Trade logistics in the global economy (Logistics performance index). <https://lpi.worldbank.org>
10. Adani Enterprises Limited. (2025a). 33rd Annual General Meeting. [Investor Presentation].
11. Adani Enterprises Limited. (2025b). Business Responsibility and Sustainability Report for the Financial Year 2024-25.
12. Adani Enterprises Limited. (n.d.-a). [compiled from company sources for years 2015-2025].
13. Adani Enterprises Limited. (n.d.-b). Timeline. Retrieved August 1, 2025, from <https://www.adanienterprises.com/about-us/timeline>
14. S&P Capital IQ. (2025b). Adani Enterprises Limited | ESG Scores History.
15. S&P Capital IQ. (2025c). Adani Enterprises Limited | ESG Scores.

How to cite : Shashwati Khuas, Prof. Anuradha Samal, The Green Gamble or the Governance Overhaul? Strategic Dilemmas at Adani Enterprises... *Advances in Consumer Research*. 2026;3(5): 329-336

16. S&P Capital IQ. (2025d). Adani Enterprises Limited | ESG Scores - Media & Stakeholder Analysis.

17. S&P Capital IQ. (2025e). Adani Enterprises Limited | Public Company - Top Holders